IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 55 OF 2007

Satish Amrut Pavne ..Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra ..Respondent

Mr. O.A. Siddiqui & Mr. Vishal Kolekar for applicant.
Ms. Rajshree Gadhvi, APP for State.

CORAM: B.H. MARLAPALLE, J.

Date : February 02, 2007.

P.C.:

1. Heard. In Sessions Case No.498 of 2006 the present applicant is the main accused and his mother, who is the co-accused, has already been released on bail. As per the prosecution he was married to the deceased on 2/5/2005 and the deceased committed suicide on 14/4/2006 while in the applicant's house. It is alleged that the reason behind the suicide was the harassment and ill-treatment on account of the demand for additional dowry. The applicant was taken in custody on 15/4/2006. It is further stated that after the charge-sheet was filed on 14/6/2006, charge

has already been framed. The applicant also relies upon the statement made by the deceased on 14/4/2006 itself that it was a case of suicide and it is claimed that no fault could be attributed to the applicant. These contentions will have to be considered by the learned Judge of the trial court. As of now, having regards to the totality of this case there does not appear to be any justification for the retention of the application in jail during the trial.

2. Hence, the application is allowed and it is directed that the applicant be released on bail on furnishing a PR bond in the sum of Rs.5000/- with two solvent sureties in the like amount. The applicant shall furnish his permanent residential address to the police station concerned i.e. Yervada Police Station, Pune and he shall not leave Pune City without advance written permission from the said police station. He shall report to the police station once in two weeks until the trial commences and once the trial commences, he shall remain present before the trial court on every date fixed for the trial. The trial in

Sessions Case No.498 of 2006 is hereby expedited and it is further directed that any application filed regarding alteration of charge or deletion of charge shall be heard and decided along with the Sessions Case and on the basis of the evidence that may be placed on record by the prosecution.

(B.H. Marlapalle,J.)